

## Examining Policies, Protocols, Procedures & Documents through a Trauma-Informed Care (TIC) Lens

TIC Value	Desired Responses by Individuals &	Consis	tency with	the Desi	Cite evidence to support rating		
	Families to the Policy, Protocol, Procedure or Document	1 Very Inconsistent	2 Inconsistent	3 Neutral or Not Sure	4 Consistent	5 Very Consistent	(see page 3 for examples)
	This policy, protocol, procedure or document	inconsistent	inconsistent	Not Sure	Consistent	Consistent	
Safety	<ul> <li>reinforces you will listen to my history without judging me.</li> </ul>						
	shows you value my emotional and physical						
	safety, even if it means you have to change						
	your usual approach.						
	This policy, protocol, procedure or document						
Trustworthiness	<ul> <li>recognizes trust is something that is earned over time, so I may not tell you the truth</li> </ul>						
	until our relationship is well established.						
	<ul> <li>shows you understand I may "test" our</li> </ul>						
	relationship, because in the past I have						
	been hurt by people close to me who told						
	me they were doing what was best for me.						
Collaboration	This policy, protocol, procedure or document  • shows you believe relationships matter and						
Conaboration	you want to know more about me, my						
	history and my current life circumstances so we can work well together.						
	shows we will work together to create a						
	plan to help me learn skills, rather than you						
	telling me what the plan is to change my						
	behavior.						

<sup>\*</sup> For each TIC Value, indicate to what extent you agree or disagree that the policy, protocol, procedure or document being reviewed is consistent and aligned with the client's desired response. The greater the consistency and alignment, the more trauma-sensitive the policy, protocol, procedure or document is.



	This policy, protocol, procedure or document				
Choice	<ul> <li>recognizes a "one-size-fits-all" approach</li> </ul>				
G.1.0.133	can make me feel dis-counted.				
	<ul> <li>recognizes I can't learn to make new</li> </ul>				
	choices, unless you give me real choices to				
	make.				
	<ul> <li>shows my choices are important and valued</li> </ul>				
	by you. In the past, I've been told what I				
	think doesn't matter and to do things that				
	make me uncomfortable.				
	<ul> <li>helps me to believe I have meaningful</li> </ul>				
	choices and the choices I make will be				
	respected by you.				
	This policy, protocol, procedure or document				
Empowerment	<ul> <li>redefines what everyone says are my</li> </ul>				
-	"problems" as coping strategies.				
	<ul> <li>recognizes my strengths and anticipates I</li> </ul>				
	will need to build skills in areas where I				
	struggle.				
	• recognizes I often feel like I can't be				
	successful and thus need you to emphasize				
	my strengths.				
	recognizes most of my life I've been told				
	what to do and how to do it. As a result, I				
	have to work hard to believe my choices				
	and opinions matter to other people.				
	helps me to feel more confident and				
	hopeful about my future.				



## **Business as Usual vs. Trauma-Informed View**

The descriptions below can assist you in 'citing evidence' to support your ratings.

Business as Usual	Trauma-Informed Care					
Views negative behaviors as willful and responds with punishment (e.g., shame, blame, rejection, isolation or deprivation) vs. relationship and skill building.	Views people as wanting to succeed but possibly (1) lacking the necessary skills to get their needs met or (2) having developed adaptive patterns of behavior in response to challenges. Considers that people may have a negative world view that influences their interactions.					
Characterizes individual's challenges in negative language (e.g., acting out, uncontrollable, manipulative, defiant). Communicates an expectation of failure.	Characterizes challenges in constructive language (e.g., in need of emotional regulation, working to develop trusting relationships, benefits from calming strategies or skills).					
Refers to people as a label or diagnosis (e.g., borderline, criminal, bi-polar, delinquent, frequent flyer, non-compliant).	Eliminates the use of labels and uses richer language to describe people remembering the TIC axiom, 'what happened to you?' vs. 'what's wrong with you?'					
Authoritarian (e.g., 'if we do not hear from you by 5pm on Tuesday you will be dismissed from our program') and lack of warmth or concern.	Collaborative (e.g., 'I'd like to talk to you but we've had difficulty connecting. Here are a few times I'm available. Please let me know if another time would be better. I hope you're well.')					
Punishes or minimizes the importance of coping strategies.	Recognizes behavior as communication, seeks to understand the function of the behavior, and responds in positive and productive ways.					
Fails to take the whole person into account (e.g., strict focus on medications only, reduced capacity for genuine warmth or concern, prioritizes task completion exclusively).	Recognizes all aspects of the person (e.g., past experience, current behavior, thoughts, emotions, health, social supports) are connected and works to integrate support from a whole person perspective.					
Prioritizes the needs of staff (e.g., no evening appointments, office location convenient for staff, service options designed around staff specialization).	Promotes a client-centered environment.					
Places onus of failure on the individual (e.g., 'we are sorry you could not succeed in our program', 'she's treatment-resistant').	Takes responsibility when a service does not meet the needs/strengths/interests of the individual.					
Uses platitudes and false concerns (e.g., 'we regret to inform you that you have been dismissed from our program).	Promotes more personal connections that demonstrate sensitivity to the individual and honest exchange of information.					
Uses professional "insider" language or jargon.	Uses language that can be understood by individuals, families and communities.					